

Message

From: Se-ah-dom Edmo [seahdom@mrgf.org]
Sent: 9/16/2019 6:50:53 PM
To: Sarah Lindsley [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=user8965df7f]
CC: Wilson, Wenona [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=814514e966ca4a0089be72ffa5a145f0-Wilson, Wenona]; LIEBE Annette * GOV [Annette.LIEBE@oregon.gov]; Alyssa Macy [alyssa.macy@wstribes.org]
Subject: Re: Draft Agenda (Revised), Draft Pre-Questionnaire (Revised)

Great question!

My experience is that people, especially when there is a challenge that is emotionally charged, like to ensure that their perspective is heard and expressed. It serves two purposes - people feel heard when they see their perspective expressed in writing - it helps neutralize any "need to compete" for time and lays the groundwork for listening to one another AND they see and read the complexity of others' ideas, perspectives and priorities.

I'll add a question at the end for name and email, my intent is to report these out separately and list them in random order.

Thanks!
S

On Mon, Sep 16, 2019 at 11:38 AM Lindsley, Sarah <Sarah.Lindsley@hud.gov> wrote:

Thanks, Se-ah-dom. I do have one question – we say in the message that “information about who took the survey will be shared,” but I just realized that we don’t actually ask for anyone’s name or agency. Should we simply take out that language? Or do we actually want to ask people to provide their name and agency? I can see pros and cons either way, and would defer to Se-ah-dom’s preference and facilitation expertise on this – is it better to have people identify themselves or stay anonymous?

From: Se-ah-dom Edmo <seahdom@mrgf.org>
Sent: Monday, September 16, 2019 11:25 AM
To: Wilson, Wenona <Wilson.Wenona@epa.gov>
Cc: Lindsley, Sarah <Sarah.Lindsley@hud.gov>; LIEBE Annette * GOV <Annette.LIEBE@oregon.gov>; Alyssa Macy <alyssa.macy@wstribes.org>
Subject: Re: Draft Agenda (Revised), Draft Pre-Questionnaire (Revised)

Hi Everyone!

Here is the survey link - it's ready to go out when you would like: <https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/BMZ8Y5T>

I would suggest sending it out with the text we developed below:

Dear Warm Springs Interagency Funders Convening Participant,

Our planning committee is asking that each of you prioritize this short survey and complete it by Friday, September 20. There are only 7 questions.

We are seeking your candid feedback and thoughts. We think it's essential that we share critical information openly in order to move forward as a group.

At the meeting, we will share who took the survey will be shared but no information or quotes will be attributed to any person. We also will not alter the responses - we will share what you say as you say it and not how we might interpret it. (Please note that your responses could become part of public records and subject to public records requests).

Thank you;

(names)

On Mon, Sep 16, 2019 at 9:33 AM Wilson, Wenona <Wilson.Wenona@epa.gov> wrote:

Hi I recommend switching introductions and updates, so that intros occur after the welcome.

Thanks,

Wenona

From: Lindsley, Sarah <Sarah.Lindsley@hud.gov>

Sent: Monday, September 16, 2019 9:28 AM

To: LIEBE Annette * GOV <Annette.LIEBE@oregon.gov>; Wilson, Wenona <Wilson.Wenona@epa.gov>; Alyssa Macy <alyssa.macy@wstribes.org>; seahdom@mrgf.org

Subject: RE: Draft Agenda (Revised), Draft Pre-Questionnaire (Revised)

Hi all:

I heard from Bruce Irwin that he'd like 20 minutes on the agenda to give an update on the water system upgrades, so I've lengthened the portion of the agenda devoted to updates since June 12 to 20 minutes – see revised agenda attached. Thanks.

From: Lindsley, Sarah

Sent: Friday, September 13, 2019 2:21 PM

To: LIEBE Annette * GOV <Annette.LIEBE@oregon.gov>; Wenona Wilson <Wilson.wenona@epa.gov>; Alyssa Macy <alyssa.macy@wstribes.org>; seahdom@mrgf.org

Subject: Draft Agenda (Revised), Draft Pre-Questionnaire (Revised)

Hi all:

Annette, Wenona, myself, and, for part of the meeting, Se-ah-dom, spoke today about the agenda for the Sept 24 meeting and a pre-questionnaire.

Here's a revised agenda and revised pre-questionnaire that (I hope) reflects our discussion.

Se-ah-dom: I will follow up with you on Monday to finalize the pre-questionnaire, and Annette will send out the survey on Monday late afternoon.

All: Let's plan to finalize the agenda at our meeting that we have scheduled for next Tuesday morning at 9:30 a.m.

Sarah Lindsley

Senior Management Analyst

Office of Field Policy and Management

U.S. Dept. of Housing and Urban Development

Region X, Seattle

909 First Ave, Suite 200

Seattle, WA 98104

(206) 220-5293

Gender Pronouns: she/her/hers

--

Se-ah-dom Edmo, *Executive Director*

Shoshone-Bannock, Nez Perce, Yakama

Pronouns: She/ Her/ Hers



seahdom@mrqf.org | 503-289-1517

Visit us on the web • Follow us on Twitter • Sign up for our newsletter

--

Se-ah-dom Edmo, *Executive Director*

Shoshone-Bannock, Nez Perce, Yakama

Pronouns: She/ Her/ Hers



seahdom@mrqf.org | 503-289-1517

Visit us on the web • Follow us on Twitter • Sign up for our newsletter